PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY **PCT** Kanji NAGATO WRITTEN OPINION OF THE SKK Bldg., 5th Floor INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY No.8-1, Shinbashi 5-chome Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0004 (PCT Rule 43bis.1) **JAPAN** Date of mailing 02/11/2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION FPHH977PC See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2004/011608 12.08.2004 19.08.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int.Cl⁷ G01R 33/09 Applicant KABUSHIKI KAISHA MINERVA 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Date of completion of this opinion Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japan Patent Office 14.10.2004 Mr. Hori (2S 3005)

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/011608

| Box | k No. I | Basis of this opinion |
|-----|----------|--|
| 1. | | gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into |
| 2. | claimed | gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing |
| | b. form | on paper in electronic form |
| | c. time | of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed filed together with the international application in electronic form furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search |
| 3. | | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| 4. | Addition | nal comments: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/011608

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

| Statement | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----|---|-------|
| Novelty (N) | Claims | 2 | • | |
| • | Claims | 1 | | |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | | • | |
| • • • • | Claims | 1-2 | | · · · |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-2 | | |
| | Claims | | | |

2. Citations and explanations:

Referring to Document 1 cited in the International Search Report: [0032] to [0063] and Figs. 1 to 7 of JP 11-316134 A, Document 1 discloses a similar constitution to the magnetic sensor claimed in claim 1 of the application. Therefore, claim 1 has neither novelty nor inventive step.

In Document 1, a half bridge shown in Fig. 2 of Document 1 is utilized in order to detect the resistance change of a magnetic detecting device. In this respect, Document 1 differs from the constitution claimed in claim 2 of the application. It is, however, a usual practice to utilize a full bridge combined with a fixed resistor for the purpose of detecting the output of a sensor device. Accordingly, it is easy for those skilled in the art to reconstitute the magnetic sensor disclosed in Document 1 into one using the full bridge.

As a consequence, claim 2 has not inventive step.